

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/437,296	11/09/1999	MICHIO YAMAJI	991283	7789
23850	7590 01/11/2005		EXAM	INER
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			DUNWOODY, AARON M	
1725 K STRE SUITE 1000	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20006		3679	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/437,296	YAMAJI ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aaron M Dunwoody	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CO avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distance of the d	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	,			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
10. Other:	,	Aaron M Dunwoody			

Aaron M Dunwoody Examiner Art Unit: 3679

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/437,296

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issue is wherein in the fully tightened position the gasket has an inside diameter less than the diameter of the opening passageway.

In regards to combination of Nakazawa et al and McGarvey, Nakazawa et al in view of McGarvey clearly illustrates the claimed limitations as presented in the last office action.